UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,139	01/22/2004	Paul P. Duron	-	6079
7590 03/08/2007 PAUL P. DURON			. EXAMINER	
4633 CAMDEN DRIVE CORONA DEL MAR, CA 92625			FREAY, CHARLES GRANT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	·
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	03/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/764,139	DURON, PAUL P.
omee reach cummary	Examiner	Art Unit
- The MAILING DATE of this commission	Charles G. Freay	3746
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MONTHS THE M	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ARANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ja</u>	anuary 2007.	
	action is non-final.	
 Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>13-21</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	an nom consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-21</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		
Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.
Attachment(s)	_	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/2006.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	
D		

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment of January 8, 2007. In making the below rejections the examiner has considered and addressed each of the applicant's arguments.

Claim Objections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 13 includes the limitation in lines 8 and 9 of "at least one venting passageway". The original disclosure does not provide basis for more than one venting passageway (the axial passageway) located within the piston rod.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3746

Claims 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 13 lines 6 and 8 "venting passageways are referred to. The examiner would suggest calling the first venting passageway a "generally radial venting passageway" and the second passageway an "axial venting passageway" so that the passageways can be clearly distinguished.

In claim 13 line 10 the phrase "said venting passageway in said ejecting ..." is confusing: The examiner believes that "piston rod should be inserted after "in said".

In claim 13 line 10 and claim 17 line 8 there is no antecedent basis for "said blow-by vapors". The examiner suggest replacing "said" with "any".

In the final sub-paragraph of each of claims 13 and 17 the claim sets forth that the vapors are "reclaimed". It is unclear what element is reclaiming the vapors but it seems clear from the disclosure that the pump is reclaiming the vapors. This would suggest that the vapors will be brought back to the source fluid so that they may be pumped again. However no mechanism has been set forth for this purpose. Please note the examiner's suggestion below in the Allowable Subject Matter section.

In claim 17 line 8 there is no antecedent basis for "said venting passageway" and the examiner suggest replacing this with "said vent hole passageway".

Claim 21 is unclear because it sets forth a method of providing the major components of a pump but the only method step is "cooling said cylinder". The rest of

Art Unit: 3746

the claim sets forth structure. The examiner believes that the applicant intended to claim a method of pumping a cryogenic fluid but no such steps have been set forth.

Claims 14-16 and 18-20 are rejected because they do not further structurally define the invention. Instead they set forth desired results of using the pump and design steps in creating the pump.

Allowable Subject Matter

The examiner notes that if claims 13 and 17 where amended as set forth below these two claims would be favorably considered.

In each of claims 13 and 17 the passage on line 4 should be rewritten "a pair of spaced apart piston heads on said piston rod <u>defining first and second pump chambers</u> with said cylinder, the pump chambers each having inlet valves communicating with a source of suction liquid". This would overcome the rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph relating to "reclaiming".

Additionally, in claim 13 line 10 and claim 17 line 9 "a source" should be changed to "said source" in order to provide correct antecedent basis.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Duron discloses a venting valve for a cryogenic piston pump.

Art Unit: 3746

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles G. Freay whose telephone number is 571-272-4827. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 A.M. to 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571-272-4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,139

Art Unit: 3746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 3746

Page 6

CGF March 4, 2007